

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,503	07/25/2003	Kenneth J Bures	OPN-006	1502
23701	7590 04/29/2005		EXAMINER	
RAUSCHEN	BACH PATENT LAW	VERBITSKY, GAIL KAPLAN		
P.O. BOX 387 BEDFORD, MA 01730			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2859	
			DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/604,503	BURES ET AL.	(Ch)
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gail Verbitsky	2859 .	

Advisory Action	10/604,503	BURES ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Gail Verbitsky	2859 .				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advice event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).</li> </ol>	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abain amendment, affidavit, or other leal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must the final rejection. Isony Action, or (2) the date set forth in the SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	ndonment of this applicated evidence, which places with 37 CFR 41.31; one of the final rejection, whichever the final rejection.	s the or (3) a f the following is later. In no			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on		) and the appropriate exter	nsion fee have			
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. Itutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) a	fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37) and the filed within the second control of the second co	1.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a l	the Notice of			
AMENDMENTS  AMENDMENTS  AMENDMENTS	but prior to the data of filing a bric	of will not be entered b	0001150			
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a)  They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c)  They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or</li> <li>(d)  They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NOw); tter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally re	oTE below); educing or simplifying				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			,			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 28. Claim(s) objected to: 6. Claim(s) rejected: 1,7 and 21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-11,16-20 and 20.	vided below or appended.	vill be entered and an e	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u> </u>					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	Is to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly added limitations (combining claim 7 with claim 1) raise a new issue to claims 8 and 9 previously dependent on claim 1 but not claim 7, and now including the limitations of claim 7.

Also, as indicated in the paragraph 4, page 3 of the previous Office action, claim 7 has been rejected over Onaka and Olds. Claim 7 is also indicated as being rejected in the Office Action Summary PTOL-326. Therefore, it becomes clear, that mentioning of claim 7 in paragraph 5 is a typographical error.

GAIL VERBITSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER

6. Verluth